

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAIN	T/DISCOVERY (CI)
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COM	IPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 0110011 DATE: <u>03/11/2014</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:</u>	00 DEPART: <u>11:45</u>
FACILITY NAME: CEMEX-S FT LAUDERDALE READY-MIX	
FACILITY LOCATION: 29 SW 33RD ST	
FORT LAUDERDALE 33315-3300	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JEFFREY PORTER* Email: CONTACT NAME: RICHARD TAMBORRINO Email: richardj.tamborrino@cemex.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/29/2013 / 9/29/2018 (effective date) (end date)	PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: (954)523-6582 Mobile: (786)295-3027
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐	
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check ☑ only one
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Dean Schwarz</u>	box for each question)
Brief Notes:	
2. Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFREY PORTER*?	⊠ Yes □No
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 da 3. Is the facility contact still RICHARD TAMBORRINO?	
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance?	

Emissions Unit Section 1 -Cement Silo Compartment No. 1 with Dust Collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
Date of last inspection: 1/24/13 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	- 🔲 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
FART II: FIELD ODSERVATIONS - Ruie 02-270.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Fonts, Roads, Farking Areas, Stock Fries, and Farus		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfin emissions by: 	ed	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the		_ <u></u>
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	Yes	☐ No
control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?	X Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	₩	□ Na
particulate matter from stock piles?	· 🔀 Yes	∐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	· Yes	□ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	∐ No

Emissions Unit Section -Cement Silo Compartment No. 2 with Dust Collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

2 - Cement Sno Compartment No. 2 with Dust Conector subject to Reasonable Pl	recautions	
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
Date of last inspection: 1/24/13 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconcemissions by:	fined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the	es following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		□No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to	_	
control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?	X Yes	□No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of		
particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? -	X Yes	□ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes	☐ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	Yes	∐ No

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

Emissions Unit Section 3 –Flyash/slag silo Compartment No. 1 with Dust Collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
Date of last inspection: 1/24/2014 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yar</u>	rds	
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control emissions by: 	ol unconfined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or me	ore of the following:	
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when nece 	X Yes	☐ No
control emissions?	Yes	☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?		☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the	truck? X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 4 –Flyash/slag silo Compartment No. 2 with Dust Collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1. Date of last inspection: 01/24/2013 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
DARTH FIELD ORGEDWATIONS D. L. CO. 20C 414/2). F. A. C.	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Xes 	□ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	
particulate matter? \(\sime\) Yes 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section <u>5 - Weigh Hopper & Concrete Mixer Loadout subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
Date of last inspection: 01/24/2013 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspectio If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Sto	orage and	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Sto		
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable pre- emissions by:	ecautions to control unconfined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shal	l include one or more of the following:	
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant che 		☐ No
control emissions?	Yes	☐ No
 removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas un owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to 		
particulate matter?		☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mi particulate matter from stock piles?	Yes	□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the	e drop point to the truck? X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

-04	ONE DATE OF COMPANY DED WIT EX LODIN VIEW		
<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑ box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	☑ No☐ No☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gener permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No No
4.	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 1.3 MM gal propared that the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consums for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	e/yr aption	? □ No
	Tot cach consecutive 12 period for the past o years.		
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	
	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	_	☐ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
	permit and Department rules?	X Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both s concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>I</i>		(check 🗹 box for each g question 2.)	•
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (<i>If YES</i> , <i>answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO</i> , <i>answer question 2.c below.</i>) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or I		- Yes	☐ No
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific	prior to changing location?		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica	ess days following a relocation?	Yes	☐ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five			☐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it co-located at the permitted facility?	in that separate permit: pose (i.e, there is no repeated usage was)?	□ No□ No□ No
<u>CHANGES</u>		(check ☑ box for each	
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? ————b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subst d. A change in ownership? ————————————————————————————————————	n of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change?	tive not its or - Yes - Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No No No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admition 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substituted. 	an of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change? antially different? on form and the appropriate fee sub	tive not its or -	 No No No No No No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admited. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? ————————————————————————————————————	an of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change? antially different? on form and the appropriate fee sub	tive not its or - Yes - Yes - Yes - Yes mitted	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admi. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	an of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change? antially different? on form and the appropriate fee sub	tive not its or - Yes - Yes - Yes - Yes mitted	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admi If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change? antially different? on form and the appropriate fee sub	tive not its or - Yes - Yes - Yes - Yes mitted	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admi If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change? antially different? on form and the appropriate fee sub	tive not its or -	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo